

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,524	11/24/2003	David James Wilson	ALC 3098	1982
7590 11/14/2006		·	EXAMINER	
KRAMER & AMADO, P.C. Suite 240			EBIRIM, EMEKA	
1725 Duke Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA 22314			2166	

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/718,524	WILSON, DAVID JAMES			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Emeka Ebirim	2166			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 A</u>	ugust 2006.				
•	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
. 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>22</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21, 22-26</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Status

1. This communication is responsive to the Amendments filed on August 25, 2006. The application has been fully examined and claims 1-26 are rejected and are pending in this Office Action.

Response to Arguments

Claim Objections

2. Applicant's amendments with respect to claims objections of the present application have been fully considered and it overcomes the objections as applied. The objection is hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1-6, 10-20, 21 and 23-25 of the present application have been fully considered but are not persuasive. The examiner respectfully traverses applicant's arguments.

With respect to the independent claims 1, Applicants argue that Davis does not disclose, "selecting a window size of *n* window bits and an offset of *o* offset bits".

In response to the above argument, the Examiner respectfully submits that Davis discloses the claimed limitation as "When an IP packet arrives at a network device, a predetermined number ("N") of the most significant bits of the IP address 50 are used

as an index into the length table 54" [Davis Para 0017]. Furthermore Davis discloses "FIG. 3A shows a simplified example of a prefix tree 200 constructed for four-bit IP addresses having a root node 204, a plurality of intermediate nodes 208 (not circled), and leaf nodes 212 (circled and underlined). Each leaf node 212 represents a prefix. Each intermediate node 208 of the prefix tree contributes a bit to a prefix used to produce fixed-length keys" [Davis Para 0028, Fig 3A-3B]. The prefix tree on Figure 3A contains the prefix bits as can be shown in figure 3B.

With respect to the independent claims 24, Applicants argue that Davis does not disclose "a search area for storing a prefix length search tree constructed using said grouping table".

In response to the above argument, the Examiner respectfully submits that Davis discloses the claimed limitation as "The hash buckets 274 are implemented as a linked list memory structure. Each hash bucket 274 has one or more data items 278. Each data item 278 includes a key value and routing information associated with that key value. Each key value corresponds to one of the keys 240 produced from the prefix tree 200"[Davis Para 0031].... "the key generator 284 constructs a key value for each prefix in the prefix tree. The keys are constructed using the prefix bits, fill bits, if any, and prefix length bits. The hash value generator 286 hashes (step 312) each key to produce a hash value for that key. Each key is then stored in the hash table 288. More specifically, the hash value for each key identifies a hash bucket in the hash table 288 in which that key is stored as a key value. Also stored in the data item with the key value

is associated routing information. Performance of steps 304, 308, and 312 to construct the length table 282 and hash table 288" [Para 0040].

With respect to the independent claims 21, Applicants argue that Yu does not disclose teach or suggest "selecting a window of n bits from the IP address, and arranging a selected group in a grouping table with all prefix lengths available for said n bits".

In response to the above argument, the Examiner respectfully submits that Yu discloses the claimed limitation as "A hash table stores variable-length route-prefixes and their associated next-hop pointers. The table update involves inputting a variable-length route prefix and changing its corresponding table entry... The hash function we used is a "sliding window," which can be illustrated by considering placing a route prefix. For a route prefix shorter than 15 bits, its 15-bit hash index consists of the prefix's bits and padded zeros to right of the prefix's rightmost bit. For a route prefix with a length between 24 bits and 15 bits, its rightmost 15 bits are used as its hash index. For a route prefix longer than 24 bits, it has a 6-bit hash index consisting of bits in positions 19 through 24" [Section 5.1].

For the above reasons, Examiner believed that rejection of the last Office action was proper. From the foregoing applicants have not met the requirements needed to traverse the rejections made to this application under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e). And as such rejections as applied to the last Office action are hereby sustained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7-9 and 26 of the present application have been fully considered but are not persuasive. The examiner respectfully traverses applicant's arguments.

Claims 7-9 and 29 which depend on rejected claims 1 and 24 respectively stand rejected for reciting subject matter which from the foregoing is not patentable over the prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-6, 10-20 and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pub No: 2004/0085953 to Davis (hereinafter Davis).

Claim 1.

Davis discloses:

A method of forwarding protocol data units (PDU's) in a router with a forwarding hash table, comprising the steps of [Forwarding table, Para 0003, 0017,0035, Fig 1-2]:

Art Unit: 2166

a) selecting a window size of n window bits and an offset of o offset bits (predetermined number ("N") or the most significant bits (window size)) [fill bits, prefix bits (offsets) See Davis Para 0017 & 0021-0022, Fig 2, 3A-3B];

- b) generating a grouping table with sets of prefix lengths based on said window size and offset [length table, prefix length, hash buckets (group), See Davis Para 0017-0018, 0226 Fig 2, Fig 2,4-5];
- c) using said n window bits as a direct index into said grouping table to find an initial prefix length and provide an associated entry into said hash table ("N" is used to index into the table) [index, keys, prefix length, hash table, See Davis Para 0017-0018, Fig 2-5]; and
- d) performing a lookup in said hash table based on said initial prefix length for matching said window bits with the bits of said associated entry (search hash table (lookup))[keys (associated entry) See Davis Para 0016-0017 Fig 2-5].

Claim 2.

Davis discloses the elements of claim 1 as above and furthermore it discloses:

- e) generating one of a hit pointer and a miss pointer in response to said lookup and loading said hit and miss pointers into a binary search tree (produces a hash value for indexing (pointer); matches (hits)) [See Davis, Para 0026-0027, Fig 2-5]; and
- f) determining a next prefix length for a respective miss pointer and hit pointer [prefix length, next key, match (hit), See Davis, Para 0026-0027, Fig 2-5];

g) performing a further lookup in said hash table based on said next prefix length for matching said window bits with the bits of a further associated entry in said hash table [prefix length, next key, match, hash table, search, See Davis, Para 0026-0027, Fig 2-5].

Claim 3.

Davis discloses the elements of claim 2 as above and furthermore it discloses repeating steps e), f) and g) until a longest matching prefix is obtained [longest prefix match, See Davis Para 0016 & 0033].

Claim 4.

Davis discloses the elements of claim 2 as above and furthermore it discloses forwarding said PDU along a route identified by an IP address in said hash table corresponding to said longest matching prefix (forwarding the internet protocol) [hash table, longest matching prefix, IP address, routing information, See Davis Para 0016 & 0026].

Claim 5.

Davis discloses the elements of claim 1 as above and furthermore it discloses selecting said offset such that the maximum number of prefix lengths per set is a minimum [See Davis Para 0020 & 0021].

Application/Control Number: 10/718,524

Art Unit: 2166

Claim 6.

Davis discloses the elements of claim 1 as above and furthermore it discloses

Page 8

selecting said offset such that the average number of prefix lengths per set is minimized

[See Davis Para 0020 & 0021].

<u>Claim 10.</u>

Davis discloses the elements of claim 1 as above and furthermore it discloses

hash table is updated when said window is updated [hash table, updates, See Davis

Para 0040].

Claim 11.

Davis discloses the elements of claim 1 as above and furthermore it discloses

window is a uni-dimensional window comprising a predetermined number of

consecutive bits (predetermined number of bits) [See Davis Para 0017].

Claim 12.

Davis discloses the elements of claim 1 as above and furthermore it discloses

window is a multi-dimensional window comprising a predetermined number of groups of

consecutive bits [Fig 3B Para 0028].

<u>Claim 13.</u>

Davis discloses the elements of claim 1 as above and furthermore it discloses wherein the number of bits of said window is selected for enabling said grouping table to fit into a fast memory [hash table, memory structure (fast memory), See Davis, Fig 3B Para 0031-0032].

Claim 14.

Davis discloses the elements of claim 1 as above and furthermore it discloses wherein the window size, n, is user-selectable [See Davis Para 0017].

Claim 15.

Davis discloses the elements of claim 2 as above and furthermore it discloses wherein step a) comprises selecting said offset using said binary tree [binary tree, See Davis Para 0006, 0028, Fig 3A-B].

Claim 16.

Davis discloses the elements of claim 2 as above and furthermore it discloses wherein said binary tree is made up of a root tree generated by said offset bits, partial trees within said window generated by said window bits, and sub-trees subtended by said partial trees [binary tree, root node, See Davis Para 0006, 0028, Fig 3A-B].

Claim 17.

Davis discloses the elements of claim 16 as above and furthermore it discloses wherein the number of prefix lengths searched for said window and said offset is a set union of the prefix lengths in said root tree, the prefix lengths of the sub-trees grouped by said window bits, and the extended prefix lengths occurring within the window grouped by said window bits [binary tree, root node, intermediate node, See Davis Para 0006, 0028, 0031, Fig 3A-B].

Claim 18.

Davis discloses the elements of claim 16 as above and furthermore it discloses, wherein said set union is obtained by iterating over all nodes of the tree within said window (values corresponding to one of the keys produced from the prefix tree) [binary tree, root node, See Davis Para 0006, 0028, 0031, Fig 3A-B].

Claim 19.

Davis discloses the elements of claim 16 as above and furthermore it discloses, wherein said n and o are chosen periodically on a best effort basis [See Davis Para 0016].

Claim 20.

Davis discloses the elements of claim 1 as above and furthermore it discloses, wherein said n and o are chosen at router startup, and updated as a low priority background application [Background operation, See Davis Para 0020, 0040 Fig 5].

Art Unit: 2166

Claim 24.

Davis discloses:

A memory for storing data for access by a routing program being executed on a

router having a hash table, comprising [router, hash table, See Davis Para 0035]:

a prefix length array for storing a grouping table comprising 2.sup.n entries, each

entry corresponding to a prefix length available for matching n bits of an IP address of a

protocol data unit (PDU) (number of entries is a power of two) [See Davis Para 0036];

and

a search area for storing a prefix length search tree constructed using said

grouping table based on a lookup in said hash table, said lookup being performed for a

prefix length in said grouping table, using said n bits in said IP address [store, table,

prefix tree, hash table, See Davis Para 0031, 0040].

Claim 25.

Davis discloses the elements of claim 24 as above and furthermore it discloses

wherein n is selected small enough so that said grouping table fits into a fast memory

[hash table, memory structure (fast memory), See Davis, Fig 3B Para 0031-0032].

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2166

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 21, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by "Forwarding Engine For Fast Routing Lookups And Updates" to Daxiao Yu et al (hereinafter Yu).

Claim 21.

Yu discloses:

A method of forwarding a protocol data unit (PDU) at a router with a forwarding hash table containing prefix lengths, comprising the steps of [routing protocol, routing table, forwarding table, See Yu, Section 3]:

dividing the prefix lengths available in said hash table into groups (group of route prefixes of lengths) [memory module (groups), See Yu section 8 Para 3 (or page 1563 Para 2), Table 1]; and

routing said PDU according to a lookup in said hash table based on the prefix lengths in a selected group of said groups [route, prefixes, hash, memory modules (group), lookup operations, See Yu Section 5.2.2, Section 6 Para 1].

wherein said step of dividing comprises selecting a set of n bits from the IP address of said PDU and arranging said selected group in the form of a grouping table with all prefix lengths available for said n bits [memory module (group)See Yu, Section 5.1, 5.2.2 Para 2-3,Table 1].

Claim 22. (Cancelled)

Claim 23.

Yu discloses the elements of claim 21 as above and furthermore it discloses, wherein said step of routing comprises:

using said n bits for finding an initial prefix length in said grouping table, to determine an associated entry into said hash table (hash index consists of prefix's bits and each hash index references a table) [Prefix length, table, hash, See Yu section 5.1 Para 2-4]; and

performing a lookup in said hash table based on said initial prefix length for matching said window bits with the bits of said associated entry [table lookup, See Yu section 6 Para 4-5].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 7-9 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis in view of "Forwarding Engine For Fast Routing Lookups And Updates" to Daxiao Yu et al (hereinafter Yu).

Claim 7.

Davis discloses the elements of claim 1 as above but does not explicitly indicate "dynamically tuning the order of prefix lengths searched in said grouping table using statistical data collected at said router", Yu discloses the claimed limitation (allocates routes prefixes based on statistics from the routing table [Yu section 5.2.2, Table 1].

Page 14

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the cited references because such statistical data usage would enable Davis to spread out route prefixes evenly and thus reduce the overall number of hash collisions [See Yu section 5.2.2, Para 3].

Claim 8.

Yu discloses the element of claim 7 as above and furthermore it discloses wherein said statistical data indicate the hits for each prefix length in each set [hit, See Yu Section 6 Para 6].

Claim 9.

Yu discloses the element of claim 7 as above and furthermore it discloses lookup is dynamically tuned to process the prefix lengths in the order from the prefix lengths with a greater percentage of hits (lookup starts with the most likely prefix length) [Hit, See Yu, section 6 Para 1, Para 6].

Claim 26.

Davis discloses the elements of claim 24 as above but it does not explicitly indicate "wherein said prefix length search tree is constructed based on dynamic flow measurements to favour prefix lengths which are used by the majority of the PDUs at said router". Yu discloses the claimed element (levels of tree generating forwarding table; allocating route prefixes based on specific statistics) [See Yu Section 8 Para 2-3].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the cited references because such statistical data usage would enable Davis to spread out route prefixes evenly and thus reduce the overall number of hash collisions [See Yu section 5.2.2, Para 3, Section 9 Para 2-3].

Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2166

Contact information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emeka Ebirim whose telephone number is 571-272-3994. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30pm - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam, can be reached on 571-272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

μp

Emeka Ebirim Examiner Art Unit 2166

HOSAIN ALAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER